IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 23986 of 1998

Between:

1 Kamatham Rangaiah, S/o. Seethaiah,
R/o. Peddamandaya village, Madikonda Mandal,
Khammam District
2 Sanikonda Gajaraju, S/o. Sathyam Raju,
R/o. Peddamandaya village, Madikonda Mandal,
Khammam Dist. ..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Tha Assistant Director of Fisheries ,
Khammam , Khammam District.
2 The Commissioner of Fisheries,
Hyderabad. .....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus; i) declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 in not conducting the skill test to the 86 members, who have been admitted by the Fishermen Cooperative Society Peddamandava by Resolution dt: 2.5.1997 as arbitrary ,illegal and violative of the Articles 14,21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India; ii) direct the Respondent No.1 to conduct the skill test to the 86 members who have been admitted by the Fisher men Cooperative Society, Paddamandava by resolution dt.2.5.97.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.A.SUDERSHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR FISHERIES

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

The writ petition has come up on several occasions, but the learned counsel for petitioner did not chose to appear in the matter. After several adjournments in the matter from 28.1.2004, the matter was listed on 24.3.2005, still there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 25.3.2005 under the caption 'for dismissal'.

Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, none appears for the petitioner and there is no representation on his behalf. In the circumstances, this writ petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 25.3.2005

DA/SVV

To

1 The Commissioner of Prohibition & Excise, Nampally, Hyderabad.

2 The Deputy Commissioner Prohibition & Excise, Karimnagar District.

3 The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Department of Revenue, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

4 Two CCs to the G.P. for Proh. & Excise, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

5 Two CD copies.